arXiv:math/0612173v1 [math.SP] 6 Dec 2006

Indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators with the singular critical point zero

Illya M. Karabash* and Aleksey S. Kostenko†

Abstract

We present a new necessary condition for similarity of indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators to self-adjoint operators. This condition is formulated in terms of Weyl-Titchmarsh m-functions. Also we obtain necessary conditions for regularity of the critical points 0 and ∞ of J-nonnegative Sturm-Liouville operators. Using this result, we construct several examples of operators with the singular critical point zero. In particular, it is shown that 0 is a singular critical point of the operator $-\frac{(\operatorname{sgn} x)}{(3|x|+1)^{-4/3}}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ acting in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R},(3|x|+1)^{-4/3}dx)$ and therefore this operator is not similar to a self-adjoint one. Also we construct a J-nonnegative Sturm-Liouville operator of type $(\operatorname{sgn} x)(-d^2/dx^2+q(x))$ with the same properties.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in Sturm-Liouville equations

$$-y''(x)+q(x)y(x)=\lambda\, r(x)y(x),\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

with an indefinite weight r. More specifically, we study the spectral properties of the associated non-self-adjoint operator

$$A:=\frac{1}{r}\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+q\right) \tag{1.2}$$

acting in the weighted Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R},\ |r(x)|dx)$ (an explicit definition of the operator A is given in the next section). Here the weight r and the potential q are real and locally Lebesgue integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ $(q,r\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$, and $xr(x)>0$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$. Thus r changes sign at 0.

The spectral problem

$$-y''(x)+q(x)y(x)=\lambda|r(x)|y(x),\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{1.3}$$

with the positive weight $|r|$ is usually treated in the context of the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R},|r(x)|dx)$ with the scalar product $(f,g)=\int_R f\overline{g}|r|dx$. Under the assumption that (1.3) is in the limit point case at $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, the operator L associated with (1.3) is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R},|r(x)|dx)$ and the operator

*Permanent address: Department of Partial Differential Equations, Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine, R. Luxemburg str., 74, Donetsk 83114, UKRAINE (karabashi@mail.ru)

†Permanent address: Department of Nonlinear Analysis, Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine, R. Luxemburg str., 74, Donetsk 83114, UKRAINE (duzer80@mail.ru)

A associated with (1.1) is *J-self-adjoint*. The letter means that A is self-adjoint with respect to the indefinite inner product

$$[f,g] := (Jf,g) = \int_R f\overline{g}\, r\, dx,$$

where the operator J is defined by $J : f(x) \mapsto (\operatorname{sgn} x) f(x)$. Obviously, the operators A and L are connected by the equality $A = JL$. Notice also that the operator A is non-self-adjoint (in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$).

The main object of the present paper is the *similarity* of the operator A to a self-adjoint operator. Let us recall that two closed operators T_1 and T_2 in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ are called *similar* if there exist a bounded operator S with the bounded inverse S^{-1} in $\mathfrak{H}$ such that $S\operatorname{dom}(T_1) = \operatorname{dom}(T_2)$ and $T_2 = ST_1S^{-1}$.

The similarity of the corresponding J-self-adjoint operators to a self-adjoint operator is essential for the solution of forward-backward boundary value problems, which arise in certain physical models, particularly in transport and scattering theory (see [4, 24, 16, 20, 21]), and in the theory of random processes (see [37] and references therein).

If the operator L is nonnegative, $L \geq 0$, one can study the similarity problem for the operator A in the context of the spectral theory of *J-nonnegative operators* [33] (necessary notions and facts are contained in Section 2.3). If, in addition, the resolvent set of the operator A is nonempty, $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$, then the operator A possesses the following properties:

(i) the spectrum of A is real, $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$;

(ii) if $\lambda \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue of A, then it is semisimple (i.e., $\ker(A-\lambda) = \ker(A-\lambda)^2$);

(iii) if 0 is an eigenvalue of A, then its Riesz index ≤ 2, i.e., $\ker A^2 = \ker A^3$ (generally, 0 may be a nonsemisimple eigenvalue).

Moreover, A admits a *spectral function* $E_A(\Delta)$. The properties of $E_A(\Delta)$ are similar to the properties of a spectral function of a self-adjoint operator. The main difference is the occurrence of *critical points*. Significantly different behavior of the spectral function $E_A(\Delta)$ occurs at *singular critical point* in any neighborhood of which the spectral function is unbounded. The critical points, which are not singular, are called *regular*. It should be stressed that only 0 and ∞ may be critical points for J-nonnegative operators. Under the additional assumption $\ker A = \ker A^2$, the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) A is similar to a self-adjoint operator in $\mathfrak{H}$;

(ii) 0 and ∞ are regular critical points of A.

In [4], Beals showed that the eigenfunctions of regular Sturm-Liouville problems of the type (1.1) form a Riesz basis if $r(x)$ behaves like a power of x at 0. Improved versions of Beals' condition have been provided in [5, 12, 38, 39, 40, 41]. In [5, 12], singular differential operators with indefinite weights have been considered and the regularity of the critical point ∞ was proven for a wide class of weight functions. The existence of indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators with the singular critical point ∞ was established in [41], and corresponding examples were constructed in [1, 13, 38]. The question of nonsingularity of 0 is much harder. It was shown in [6, 10, 14, 17, 22, 23, 27, 28, 29] that 0 is a regular critical point for several model classes of differential operators. In [23] several necessary similarity conditions in terms of Weyl functions were obtained also. The following problem naturally arises in this context:

Problem 1. *Whether there are any J-nonnegative Sturm-Liouville operators A with the singular critical point* 0*.*

It will be shown in the present paper that those operators do exist.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we summarize necessary definitions and statements from the spectral theory of Sturm-Liouville operators and from the spectral theory of J-nonnegative operators.

The main results of the paper are contained in Section 3. The central result is a necessary condition for the operator A to be similar to self-adjoint one (Theorem 3.1). Further, we obtain necessary conditions for regularity of the critical points 0 and ∞ of J-nonnegative Sturm-Liouville operators (Theorem 3.2). These conditions are formulated in terms of the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-functions. Proofs of these results are contained in Section 4.

In Section 5, we show that 0 is a singular critical point of the operator associated with the differential expression

$$-\frac{\operatorname{sgn} x}{(3|x|+1)^{-4/3}}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R},(3|x|+1)^{-4/3})$. Moreover, we construct a J-nonnegative operator $A_0 = (\operatorname{sgn} x)(-d^2/dx^2+q_0)$ with the same property in Subsection 6.2.

In [10], Faddeev and Shterenberg proved the following: *if the operator* $A=(\operatorname{sgn} x)(-d^2/dx^2+q)$ *(acting in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$*) has a real spectrum and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|(1+|x|^2)\,dx<\infty, \tag{1.4}$$

then the operator A is similar to a self-adjoint one. In Subsection 6.1, we show that (1.4) cannot be changed to the condition $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|q(x)|(1+|x|^{\alpha})dx<\infty$ with $\alpha<1$.

It should be noted that the necessary conditions obtained in [23] ignore the singular part of the operator A. Moreover, these conditions are fulfilled for examples constructed in Sections 5 and 6. But connections between [23, Corollaries 5.4–5.6] and Theorem 3.1 are not clear (see also Remark 3.2).

Notation: $\mathfrak{H},\mathcal{H}$ denote separable Hilbert spaces. The scalar product and the norm in the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ are denoted by $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}}$, respectively. The set of all bounded linear operators from $\mathfrak{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $[\mathfrak{H},\mathcal{H}]$ or $[\mathfrak{H}]$ if $\mathfrak{H}=\mathcal{H}$. $\operatorname{span}\{f_1,f_2,\dots,f_N\}$ denotes the closed linear hull of vectors $f_1,\ f_2,\ \dots,\ f_N$. Let T be a linear operator in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. In what follows $\operatorname{dom}(T)$, $\ker(T)$, $\operatorname{ran}(T)$ are the domain, kernel, range of T, respectively. We denote by $\sigma(T)$, $\rho(T)$ the spectrum and the resolvent set of T; $\sigma_p(T)$ stands for the set of eigenvalues of T. $R_T(\lambda):=(T-\lambda I)^{-1}$, $\lambda\in\rho(T)$, is the resolvent of T.

We set $\mathbb{C}_{\pm}:=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\ :\ \pm\operatorname{Im}\lambda>0\}$. By $\chi_{\pm}(t):=\chi_{\mathbb{R}_{\pm}}(t)$ we denote the characteristic function of $\mathbb{R}_{\pm}$, where $\mathbb{R}_+:=[0,+\infty)$, $\mathbb{R}_-:=(-\infty,0]$. We write $f\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})(\in AC_{loc}(\mathbb{R}))$ if the function f is Lebesgue integrable (absolutely continuous) on every bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Differential operators.

Consider the differential expressions

$$\ell[y]=\frac{1}{|r|}\left(-y''+qy\right)\qquad\text{and}\qquad a[y]=\frac{1}{r}\left(-y''+qy\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Under the assumptions $q, r \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, these differential expressions are regular on all compact intervals $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, but singular on $(-\infty, +\infty)$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the set of all functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$ such that f and its first derivative f' are locally absolutely continuous and $\ell[f] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$,

$$\mathfrak{D} := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx) : \ f, f' \in AC_{loc}(\mathbb{R}), \ \ell[f] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)\}. \tag{2.2}$$

The set $\mathfrak{D}$ is the maximal linear manifold in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$ on which the differential expressions $\ell[\cdot]$ and $a[\cdot]$ have a natural meaning. On $\mathfrak{D}$ let us define the operators L and A as follows:

$$\begin{gathered} \operatorname{dom}(L) = \operatorname{dom}(A) = \mathfrak{D}, \\ Lf = \ell[f], \qquad Af = a[f] \qquad \text{for} \qquad f \in \mathfrak{D}. \end{gathered} \tag{2.3}$$

The operators A and L are called *the operators associated with equations* (1.1) and (1.3), respectively. It is well known (see [2]) that A and L are closed differential operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$.

Moreover, the adjoint operator L^* of L is a closed symmetric operator with deficiency indices (n,n), $0 \le n \le 2$. In what follows we always assume that the differential expression $\ell[\cdot]$ is *in the limit point case at* $+\infty$ *and* $-\infty$. In other words, we assume that $n = 0$, i.e., the operator L is self-adjoint in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$.

It is clear that $A = JL$, where the operator J is defined by

$$(Jf)(x) = (\operatorname{sgn} x) f(x), \qquad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx).$$

Obviously, $J^* = J^{-1} = J$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$, and $A^* = LJ$. Thus the adjoint operator A^* of A is defined by the differential expression $a[\cdot]$ on the domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(A^*) = J^{-1}\mathfrak{D} = J\mathfrak{D} = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx) \ : \ Jf \in \mathfrak{D}\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $\operatorname{dom}(A^*) \ne \operatorname{dom}(A)$, we have $A \ne A^*$, i. e., the operator A is non-self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$.

Let us determine the following set

$$\mathfrak{D}_0 := \operatorname{dom}(A) \cap \operatorname{dom}(A^*) = \mathfrak{D} \cap J\mathfrak{D} = \{f \in \mathfrak{D} \ : f(0) = f'(0) = 0\}. \tag{2.5}$$

It is obvious that the following restrictions of the operators L and A

$$L_{\min} := L \restriction \mathfrak{D}_0, \qquad A_{\min} := A \restriction \mathfrak{D}_0. \tag{2.6}$$

are closed densely defined symmetric operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$ with equal deficiency indices $n_\pm(L_{\min}) = n_\pm(A_{\min}) = 2$. Let $P_\pm$ denote the orthogonal projections in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm, |r(x)|dx)$. One can represent the operator $L_{\min}$ in the following form

$$L_{\min} = L_{\min -} \oplus L_{\min +}, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$L_{\min \pm} := L \restriction L^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm, |r|dx) \ = \ L \restriction \mathfrak{D}_0^\pm; \qquad \mathfrak{D}_0^\pm = P_\pm \mathfrak{D}_0. \tag{2.8}$$

The operators $L_{\min +}$ and $L_{\min -}$ are called *the minimal operators associated with the differential expression* $\ell[\cdot]$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathbb{R}_-$, respectively (see [2]). Notice that $L_{\min \pm}$ is a symmetric operator in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm, |r(x)|dx)$. The deficiency indices of $L_{\min \pm}$ are equal to (1,1). In what follows $\mathfrak{D}_0^*$ stands for the domain of the adjoint operator $L^*_{\min}$ of $L_{\min}$.

It is not hard to see that

$$A_{\min} = A_{\min -} \oplus A_{\min +}, \qquad \text{where} \quad A_{\min \pm} := \pm L_{\min \pm}. \tag{2.9}$$

Thus the operator A is a non-self-adjoint extension of the operator $A_{\min}$ and

$$\mathfrak{D} \ = \ \operatorname{dom}(A) \ = \ \left\{ f \in \operatorname{dom}(A^*_{\min +}) \oplus \operatorname{dom}(A^*_{\min -}) : \ f(+0) = f(-0), \ \ f'(+0) = f'(-0) \right\} \tag{2.10}$$

(note that $\operatorname{dom}(A^*_{\min \pm}) = P_\pm \operatorname{dom}(A^*_{\min}) = P_\pm \mathfrak{D}_0^*$).

2.2 Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficients.

Let $c(x,\lambda)$ and $s(x,\lambda)$ denote the linearly independent solutions of equation (1.3) satisfying the following initial conditions at zero

$$c(0,\lambda) = s'(0,\lambda) = 1; \qquad c'(0,\lambda) = s(0,\lambda) = 0.$$

Since equation (1.3) is limit-point at $+\infty$, the Weyl-Titchmarsh theory states (see, for example, [35]) that there exists a unique holomorphic function $m_+(\cdot) : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, such that the function $s(x,\lambda) - m_+(\lambda)c(x,\lambda)$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, |r(x)|dx)$. Similarly, the limit point case at $-\infty$ yields the fact that there exists a unique holomorphic function $m_-(\cdot) : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, such that $s(x,\lambda) + m_-(\lambda)c(x,\lambda) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_-, |r(x)|dx)$. (Note that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$ the functions $c(x,\lambda)\chi_\pm(x)$ and $s(x,\lambda)\chi_\pm(x)$ do not belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$).

The functions m_+ and m_- are called *the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficients for* (1.3) on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and on $\mathbb{R}_-$, respectively. We put

$$M_\pm(\lambda) := \pm m_\pm(\pm\lambda); \qquad \psi_\pm(x,\lambda) = (s(x,\pm\lambda) - M_\pm(\lambda)c(x,\pm\lambda))\chi_\pm(x). \tag{2.11}$$

By the definition of $m_\pm$, the functions $\psi_+(\cdot,\lambda)$ and $\psi_-(\cdot,\lambda)$ belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$. Besides,

$$a[\psi_\pm(x,\lambda)] = \lambda\psi_\pm(x,\lambda).$$

The function $M_+(\cdot)$ ($M_-(\cdot)$) is said to be *the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficient for* equation (1.1) on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (on $\mathbb{R}_-$).

Definition 2.1 (e.g. [25])**.** *The class* (R) *consists of all holomorphic functions* $G : \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{C}_- \to \mathbb{C}$ *such that*

$$G(\overline{\lambda}) = \overline{G(\lambda)}, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \operatorname{Im}\lambda \cdot \operatorname{Im} G(\lambda) \geq 0 \qquad \textit{for} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{C}_-.$$

It is well known that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |\psi_+(x,\lambda)|^2 r(x)dx = \frac{\operatorname{Im} M_+(\lambda)}{\operatorname{Im}\lambda}, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^0 |\psi_-(x,\lambda)|^2 |r(x)|dx = \frac{\operatorname{Im} M_-(\lambda)}{\operatorname{Im}\lambda}, \tag{2.12}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$ (see e.g. [35]). These formulae imply that the functions M_+ and M_- (as well as m_+ and m_-) belong to the class (R). Moreover (see [31], [26], and also [35, §II.5, Theorem 5.2] for the case $|r| \equiv 1$), the functions M_+ and M_- admit the following integral representation

$$M_\pm(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau_\pm(s)}{s-\lambda}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.13}$$

Here $\tau_\pm : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are nondecreasing functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with the following properties

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau_\pm(s)}{1+|s|} < +\infty, \qquad \tau_\pm(0) = 0, \qquad \tau_\pm(s) = \tau_\pm(s-0).$$

Notice that the functions τ_+ and τ_- are uniquely determined by the Stieltjes inversion formula (see [25]),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^s \operatorname{Im} M_\pm(t+i\varepsilon)dt = \frac{\tau_\pm(s+0) + \tau_\pm(s-0)}{2}\ . \tag{2.14}$$

The function $\tau_\pm$ is called a *spectral function of the boundary value problem*

$$-y''(x)+q(x)y(x)=\lambda r(x)y(x), \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}_\pm; \qquad y'(\pm 0)=0. \tag{2.15}$$

In other words, the self-adjoint operator

$$A_{0\pm}:=A^*_{\min\pm}\restriction\{y\in\mathrm{dom}(A^*_{\min\pm}):y'(\pm 0)=0\}, \tag{2.16}$$

associated with (2.15) is unitary equivalent to the multiplication by the independent variable in the Hilbert spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R},d\tau_\pm(x))$. This fact obviously implies

$$\sigma(A_{0\pm})=\mathrm{supp}(d\tau_\pm). \tag{2.17}$$

Here $\mathrm{supp}\,d\tau$ denotes the *topological support* of a Borel measure $d\tau$ on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., $\mathrm{supp}\,d\tau$ is the smallest closed set $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}$ such that $d\tau(\mathbb{R}\setminus\Omega)=0$.

Remark 2.1. *It is well known that the functions $M^\infty_\pm:=-1/M_\pm$ belong to the class (R) also. Besides, they admit the following integral representation*

$$M^\infty_\pm(\lambda)=C_\pm+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{s-\lambda}-\frac{s}{1+s^2}\right)d\tau^\infty_\pm(s), \qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R},$$

where $\tau^\infty_+:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ and $\tau^\infty_-:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ are nondecreasing functions with the following properties

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{d\tau^\infty_\pm(s)}{1+|s|^2}<+\infty, \qquad \tau^\infty_\pm(0)=0, \qquad \tau^\infty_\pm(s)=\tau^\infty_\pm(s-0).$$

The functions τ^∞_+ and τ^∞_- are called the spectral functions of the boundary value problems

$$-y''(x)+q(x)y(x)=\lambda r(x)y(x), \qquad x\in\mathbb{R}_\pm; \qquad y(\pm 0)=0. \tag{2.18}$$

Sometimes we will say that the functions $M_\pm(\cdot)$ and $M^\infty_\pm(\cdot)$ are the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficients for the boundary value problems (2.15) *and* (2.18)*, respectively.*

In the proofs of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 the following description of $\sigma_p(A)$ will be used.

Proposition 2.1 ([18, 20])**.** *Let $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$. Assume that*

$$\tau_\pm(\lambda+0)=\tau_\pm(\lambda-0) \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{|s-\lambda|^2}\,d\tau_\pm(s)<\infty. \tag{2.19}$$

Then $\lambda\in\sigma_p(A)$ if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{s-\lambda}d\tau_+(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{s-\lambda}d\tau_-(t). \tag{2.20}$$

If (2.20) *holds true, then:*

(i) *the geometric multiplicity of λ is 1;*

(ii) *λ is a simple eigenvalue if and only if at least one the following conditions fails*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{|s-\lambda|^4}\,d\tau_-(t)<\infty, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{|s-\lambda|^4}\,d\tau_+(s)<\infty, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{(s-\lambda)^2}\,d\tau_-(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{(s-\lambda)^2}\,d\tau_+(t). \tag{2.22}$$

Remark 2.2. *A complete description of eigenvalues of the indefinite Sturm-Liouville operator A as well as their geometric and algebraic multiplicities were obtained in [18]. These results were published in [20] and used in [23]. Note also that Proposition 2.1 is a particular case of [23, Theorem 4.2(3)].*

2.3 Spectral functions of J-nonnegative operators.

In this subsection basic facts from the spectral theory of J-nonnegative operators are collected (the reader can find more details in [3, 33]).

Consider a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ with a scalar product $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathfrak{H}}$. A Hermitian sesquilinear form $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle$ on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ is said to be *positive definite* if $\langle f,f\rangle>0$ for $f\in\mathfrak{H}\setminus\{0\}$, and it said to be *indefinite* if there exist elements $f,g\in\mathfrak{H}$ such that $\langle f,f\rangle<0$ and $\langle g,g\rangle>0$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{H}=\mathfrak{H}_+\oplus\mathfrak{H}_-$, where $\mathfrak{H}_+$ and $\mathfrak{H}_-$ are closed subspaces of $\mathfrak{H}$. Denote by $P_\pm$ the orthogonal projections from $\mathfrak{H}$ onto $\mathfrak{H}_\pm$. Let $\mathcal{J}=P_+-P_-$ and $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathcal{K}}:=(\mathcal{J}\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathfrak{H}}$. Then the pair $\mathcal{K}=(\mathfrak{H},[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathcal{K}})$ is called a *Krein space* (see [33, 3] for the original definition). The form $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is called *an inner product* in the Krein space $\mathcal{K}$ and the operator $\mathcal{J}$ is called *a fundamental symmetry in the Krein space* $\mathcal{K}$. Evidently, the form $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is indefinite on $\mathfrak{H}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{H}_+\neq\{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_-\neq\{0\}$.

Let T be a closed densely defined operator in $\mathfrak{H}$. The *J-adjoint operator of* T is defined by the relation

$$[Tf,g]=[f,T^{[*]}g]\ ,\qquad f\in\mathrm{dom}(T),$$

on the set of all $g\in\mathfrak{H}$ such that the mapping $f\mapsto[Tf,g]$ is a continuous linear functional on $\mathrm{dom}(T)$. The operator T is called *J-self-adjoint* if $T=T^{[*]}$. It is easy to see that $T^{[*]}:=\mathcal{J}T^*\mathcal{J}$ and the operator T is J-self-adjoint if and only if $\mathcal{J}T$ is self-adjoint. Note that $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^*=\mathcal{J}^{-1}=\mathcal{J}^{[*]}$. A closed operator T is called *J-nonnegative* if $[Tf,f]\geq 0$ for $f\in\mathrm{dom}(T)$ (it is equivalent to $\mathcal{J}T\geq 0$).

Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the semiring consisting of all bounded intervals with endpoints different from 0 and $\pm\infty$ and their complements in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}:=\mathbb{R}\cup\infty$.

Theorem 2.1 ([33]). *Let T be a J-nonnegative J-self-adjoint operator in $\mathfrak{H}$ with a nonempty resolvent set $\rho(T)\neq\emptyset$. Then:*

(i) *The spectrum of T is real, $\sigma(T)\subset\mathbb{R}$.*

(ii) *There exist a mapping $\Delta\to E(\Delta)$ from $\mathfrak{S}$ into the set of bounded linear operators in $\mathfrak{H}$ with the following properties ($\Delta,\Delta'\in\mathfrak{S}$):*

- **(E1)** $E(\Delta\cap\Delta')=E(\Delta)E(\Delta')$, $\quad E(\emptyset)=0$, $\quad E(\overline{\mathbb{R}})=I$, $\quad E(\Delta)=E(\Delta)^{[*]}$;
- **(E2)** $E(\Delta\cup\Delta')=E(\Delta)+E(\Delta')$ *if* $\quad\Delta\cap\Delta'=\emptyset$;
- **(E3)** *the form $\pm[\cdot,\cdot]$ is positive definite on $E(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}$, if $\Delta\subset\mathbb{R}_\pm$;*
- **(E4)** *$E(\Delta)$ is in the double commutant of the resolvent of T and $\sigma(T\upharpoonright E(\Delta)\mathfrak{H})\subset\overline{\Delta}$;*
- **(E5)** *if Δ is bounded, then $E(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}\subset\mathrm{dom}(T)$ and $T\upharpoonright E(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}$ is a bounded operator.*

According to [33, Proposition II.4.2], a number $s\in\{0,\infty\}$ is called *a critical point* of T, if the form $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is indefinite on $E(\Delta)\mathfrak{H}$ for each $\Delta\in\mathfrak{S}$ such that $s\in\Delta$. The set of critical points is denoted by $c(T)$.

If $\alpha\notin c(T)$, then for arbitrary $\lambda_0,\lambda_1\in\mathbb{R}\setminus c(T)$, $\lambda_0<\alpha$, $\lambda_1>\alpha$, the limits

$$\lim_{\lambda\uparrow\alpha}E([\lambda_0,\lambda]),\qquad\lim_{\lambda\downarrow\alpha}E([\lambda,\lambda_1]) \tag{2.23}$$

exist in the strong operator topology. If $\alpha\in c(T)$ and the limits (2.23) do still exist, then α is called *regular critical point* of T, otherwise α is called *singular*. Here we agree that, if $\alpha=\infty$, then $\lambda_1>\alpha$ ($\lambda\downarrow\alpha$) means $\lambda_1>-\infty$ ($\lambda\downarrow-\infty$, respectively).

The following proposition is well known.

Proposition 2.2. *Let T be a J-nonnegative J-self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$. Assume that $\rho(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\ker T = \ker T^2$ (i.e., 0 is either a semisimple eigenvalue or a regular point of T). Then two following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *T is similar to a self-adjoint operator.*

(ii) *0 and ∞ are not singular critical points of T.*

3 Main results

Let A, L, and J be the operators defined in Section 2.1. Let M_+ and M_- be the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficients for (1.1). Throughout this section we always assume that the operator A is J-self-adjoint (this is equivalent to the self-adjointness of the operator L).

In this Section we formulate the main results. All proofs are contained in the next section.

3.1 Necessary similarity condition.

We start with the following

Proposition 3.1 (cf. [23])**. (i)** *If $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$, then $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ if and only if $M_+(\lambda) = M_-(\lambda)$.*

(ii) *If the operator L is semibounded, then $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$.*

Remark 3.1. *Proposition 3.1 was obtained in [23] for the case $r(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$. The proof of statement (i) remains the same. However, for the operator $A = (\operatorname{sgn} x)(-d^2/dx^2+q)$ with $q \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, statement (i) holds true without the assumption $L \geq \eta > -\infty$ (see [23, Proposition 2.5 (v)]).*

Let us note that if A is similar to a self-adjoint operator, then $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and hence, by Proposition 3.1, $M_+(\lambda) \neq M_-(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$.

The central result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *If A is similar to a self-adjoint operator, then the functions*

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} M_+(\lambda)}{M_+(\lambda) - M_-(\lambda)} \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{\operatorname{Im} M_-(\lambda)}{M_+(\lambda) - M_-(\lambda)} \tag{3.1}$$

are well-defined and bounded on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let A be a J-self-adjoint operator associated with (1.1). Assume also that the weight $r(\cdot)$ is odd and the potential $q(\cdot)$ is even. If A is similar to a self-adjoint operator then*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \frac{\operatorname{Im} M_+(i\varepsilon)}{\operatorname{Re} M_+(i\varepsilon)} < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof of Corollary 3.1. Since the functions $|r(\cdot)|$ and $q(\cdot)$ are even, one can easily show that $m_-(\lambda) = m_+(\lambda)$. It follows from (2.11) that $M_-(\lambda) = -M_+(-\lambda)$. Moreover,

$$M_+(i\varepsilon) - M_-(i\varepsilon) = M_+(i\varepsilon) + M_+(-i\varepsilon) = M_+(i\varepsilon) + \overline{M_+(i\varepsilon)} = 2 \operatorname{Re} M_+(i\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Combining the last equality with Theorem 3.1, we complete the proof. □

Remark 3.2. *The case* $r(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$ *was studied in papers [22, 23], where several necessary and sufficient conditions of similarity to a self-adjoint operator have been obtained. In particular, it was shown that:* if A is similar to a self-adjoint operator, then

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} M_{\pm}(\eta + i0)}{M_{+}(\eta + i0) - M_{-}(\eta + i0)} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \tag{3.3}$$

where $M_{\pm}(\eta + i0) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to +0} M_{\pm}(\eta + i\varepsilon)$.

It is easy to see that condition (3.3) *is a restriction of Theorem 3.1 to the real line. Moreover, one can verify that condition* (3.3) *as well as other necessary conditions ([23, Corollaries 5.4–5.6]) are fulfilled for differential operators given in Sections 5–6, but the functions* (3.1) *are unbounded in any neighborhood of zero. Note also that condition* (3.3) *obviously holds if the operator* A *has a discrete spectrum. Actually, in this case* $\operatorname{Im} M_{\pm}(\eta + i0) = 0$ *a.e. on* $\mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.3. *Let* $r(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$ *and* $q(\cdot)$ *be a finite-zone potential (see [34]). It was shown in [22, 23] that the part of the operator* A *that corresponds to the essential spectrum of* A *is similar to a self-adjoint operator exactly when* (3.3) *holds. Also, the Jordan structure of the part of* A *corresponding to the discrete spectrum has been described in [23]. Combining these results with Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following similarity criterion:* the operator $A = (\operatorname{sgn} x)(-d^2/dx^2 + q(x))$ with a finite-zone potential $q(\cdot)$ is similar to a self-adjoint operator if and only if the functions (3.1) are bounded on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

3.2 Applications to J-nonnegative operators.

In this subsection we assume that the operator A is J-nonnegative.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* A *be the operator associated with* (1.1). *If* A *is J-nonnegative, then the spectrum of* A *is real.*

Proof. Since $L \geq 0$, Proposition 3.1 implies that $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$. Theorem 2.1 (i) completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.1 implies that the operator A admits a spectral function $E(\Delta)$ with the properties **(E1) – (E5)**. Let us formulate necessary conditions for regularity of critical points of the operator A.

Theorem 3.2. *Let the operator* A *associated with* (1.1) *be J-nonnegative. Then:*

(i) *If* ∞ *is a regular critical point of* A, *then for all* $R > 0$ *the functions* (3.1) *are bounded on the set* $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{+} : |\lambda| > R\}$.

(ii) *If* 0 *is a regular critical point of* A *and* $\ker A = \ker A^2$, *then for all* $R > 0$ *the functions* (3.1) *are bounded on the set* $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{+} : |\lambda| < R\}$.

Remark 3.4. *It is not hard to see that if* A *is a J-nonnegative operator and* $\lambda_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_{+}} \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, *then the functions* (3.1) *are bounded in a sufficiently small neighborhood of* λ_0.

Corollary 3.2. *Let the operator* A *associated with* (1.1) *be J-nonnegative. Assume also that the weight* $r(\cdot)$ *is odd, the potential* $q(\cdot)$ *is even, and* $\ker A = \ker A^2$. *If the critical point* 0 (∞) *is regular, then*

$$\operatorname{Im} M_{+}(i\varepsilon) = O(\operatorname{Re} M_{+}(i\varepsilon)), \quad \varepsilon \to +0 \qquad (\varepsilon \to +\infty). \tag{3.4}$$

The proof is analogous to the proof of Corollary 3.1 and follows from Theorem 3.2.

In Sections 5-6, using the inverse spectral theory of Sturm-Liouville operators, we will construct the spectral problems of the form (1.1) such that the associated operator A is J-nonnegative with the singular critical point 0.

4 Resolvent estimates

4.1 Boundary triplets for symmetric operators.

To calculate the spectrum and the resolvent of the operator A we will use the concepts of boundary triplets and abstract Weyl functions (see [7, 8]). Let us briefly recall basic notions and facts.

Let $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be separable Hilbert spaces. Let S be a closed symmetric operator in $\mathfrak{H}$ with equal and finite deficiency indices $n_+(S) = n_-(S) = n < \infty$ (by definition, $n_\pm(S) := \dim \mathfrak{N}_{\pm i}(S)$, where $\mathfrak{N}_\lambda(S) := \ker(S^* - \lambda I)$).

Definition 4.1 ([15]). *A triplet $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ consisting of an auxiliary Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and linear mappings $\Gamma_j : \mathrm{dom}(S^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $(j = 0,\ 1)$, is called a* boundary triplet for S^* *if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $(S^*f, g)_{\mathfrak{H}} - (f, S^*g)_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g)_{\mathcal{H}} - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad f,\ g \in \mathrm{dom}(S^*)$;

(ii) *the linear mapping* $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 f\} : \mathrm{dom}(S^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ *is surjective.*

The mappings Γ_0 and Γ_1 naturally induce two extensions S_0 and S_1 of S given by

$$S_j := S^* \restriction \mathrm{dom}(S_j), \qquad \mathrm{dom}(S_j) = \ker \Gamma_j, \quad (j = 0,\ 1).$$

It turns out that S_0 and S_1 are self-adjoint operators in $\mathfrak{H}$, $S_j^* = S_j$, $(j = 0,\ 1)$.

The *γ-field* of the operator S corresponding to the boundary triplet Π is the operator function $\gamma(\cdot) : \rho(S_0) \to [\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{N}_\lambda(S)]$ defined by $\gamma(\lambda) := (\Gamma_0 \restriction \mathfrak{N}_\lambda(S))^{-1}$. The function γ is well-defined and holomorphic on $\rho(S_0)$.

Definition 4.2 ([7, 8]). *Let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a boundary triplet for the operator S^*. The operator-valued function $M(\cdot) : \rho(S_0) \to [\mathcal{H}]$ defined by*

$$M(\lambda) := \Gamma_1 \gamma(\lambda), \qquad \lambda \in \rho(S_0),$$

is called the Weyl function *of S corresponding to the boundary triplet Π.*

Note that the Weyl function M is holomorphic on $\rho(S_0)$. It is well known (see [7, 8]) that the above implicit definition of the Weyl function is correct and $M(\cdot)$ is an (R)-function obeying $0 \in \rho(\mathrm{Im}(M(i)))$.

Let $C, D \in [\mathcal{H}]$. Consider the following extension $\widetilde{S}$ of S, $\quad S \subset \widetilde{S}$,

$$\begin{gathered} \widetilde{S} = S_{C,D} := S^* \restriction \mathrm{dom}(S_{C,D}), \\ \mathrm{dom}(S_{C,D}) = \{f \in \mathrm{dom}(S^*) :\ C\Gamma_1 f + D\Gamma_0 f = 0\}. \end{gathered} \tag{4.1}$$

Notice that each *proper extension* $\widetilde{S}$ of S has the form (4.1), i.e., if $S \subset \widetilde{S} \subset S^*$, then there exist $C, D \in [\mathcal{H}]$ such that $\widetilde{S} = S_{C,D}$.

A connection between the Krein—Najmark formula (see, for example, [2]) and boundary triplets has been established in [7, 8]. We use the corresponding result in the form given in [36].

Proposition 4.1 ([7, 8, 36]). *Suppose $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ is a boundary triplet for S^*, $M(\cdot)$ is the corresponding Weyl function, and $\widetilde{S} = S_{C,D}$, where $S_{C,D}$ is defined by* (4.1). *Assume also that $C,\ D,\ (CC^* + DD^*)^{-1} \in [\mathcal{H}]$. Then:*

(i) $\lambda \in \rho(S_0) \cap \rho(\widetilde{S})$ *if and only if* $0 \in \rho(D + CM(\lambda))$.

(ii) *For each* $\lambda \in \rho(\widetilde{S}) \cap \rho(S_0)$ *the following equality holds true*

$$(\widetilde{S} - \lambda)^{-1} = (S_0 - \lambda)^{-1} - \gamma(\lambda)(D + CM(\lambda))^{-1} C\gamma^*(\overline{\lambda}), \tag{4.2}$$

where the operator-function $\gamma^*(\cdot) : \rho(S_0) \to [\mathfrak{H}, \mathcal{H}]$ *is defined by*

$$(\gamma^*(\lambda)f, h)_{\mathcal{H}} = (f, \gamma(\lambda)h)_{\mathfrak{H}}, \qquad f \in \mathfrak{H},\ h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Remark 4.1. *Assertions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4.1 are particular cases of [36, Corollary 5.3] and [36, Corollary 5.6], respectively. Note that combining [8, Proposition 1.6], [8, Theorem 3.1(1)] with [36, Lemma 2.1], one immediately obtains the proof of Proposition 4.1.*

4.2 Boundary triplets for Sturm-Liouville operators.

1. Let $A_{\min+}$ and $A_{\min-}$ be the operators defined in Subsection 2.1. Since equation (1.1) is in the limit point case at $+\infty$ and $-\infty$, then the deficiency indices of the symmetric operator $A_{\min\pm}(= \mp L_{\min\pm})$ are (1,1) and for all $f, g \in \operatorname{dom}\left(A^*_{\min\pm}\right)$ we have

$$\left(A^*_{\min\pm} f,\ g\right) - \left(f,\ A^*_{\min\pm} g\right) = f'(\pm 0)\overline{g(\pm 0)} - f(\pm 0)\overline{g'(\pm 0)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence the triplets $\Pi^+ = \{\mathbb{C}, \Gamma^+_0, \Gamma^+_1\}$ and $\Pi^- = \{\mathbb{C}, \Gamma^-_0, \Gamma^-_1\}$, where

$$\Gamma^\pm_0 f := f'(\pm 0), \quad \Gamma^\pm_1 f := -f(\pm 0), \qquad f \in \operatorname{dom}(A^*_{\min\,\pm}),$$

are the boundary triplets for $A^*_{\min+}$ and $A^*_{\min-}$, respectively. By the definition of the functions $\psi_+(\cdot, \lambda)$ and $\psi_-(\cdot, \lambda)$ (see Subsection 2.2), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{N}_\lambda(A_{\min\pm}) = \ker\left(A^*_{\min\pm} - \lambda\right) = \{c\psi_\pm(\cdot, \lambda) :\ c \in \mathbb{C}\}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.4}$$

Denote by γ^+ and γ^- the γ-fields corresponding to the boundary triplets Π^+ and Π^-. By (2.11) and (4.4), we get

$$\gamma^\pm(\lambda)\, c = \left(\Gamma^\pm_0 \restriction \mathfrak{N}_\lambda(A_{\min\pm})\right)^{-1} c = c \cdot \psi_\pm(x, \lambda), \qquad c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.5}$$

Further, the self-adjoint extension $A^*_{\min\pm} \restriction \ker(\Gamma^\pm_0)$ of $A_{\min\pm}$ coincides with the operator $A_{0\pm}$ defined by (2.16) (see Subsection 2.2). The Weyl function $\widetilde{M}_\pm(\cdot)$ of $A_{\min\pm}$ corresponding to the boundary triplet $\Pi^\pm$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{M}_\pm(\lambda) := \Gamma^\pm_1\, \gamma^\pm(\lambda), \qquad \lambda \in \rho(A_{0\pm}).$$

Combining (4.5) with (2.11), one obtains

$$\widetilde{M}_\pm(\lambda)\, c = \Gamma^\pm_1\, \gamma^\pm(\lambda)\, c = \Gamma^\pm_1(\, c\, \psi_\pm(\pm 0, \lambda)) = -c\, \psi_\pm(\pm 0, \lambda) = c\, M_\pm(\lambda), \qquad c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that, by definition 4.2, the function $\widetilde{M}_\pm$ is holomorphic on $\rho(A_{0\pm})$. Thus $\widetilde{M}_\pm(\cdot)$ is a holomorphic continuation of $M_\pm(\cdot)$ to the domain $\rho(A_{0\pm})$. In the sequel we will write $M_\pm$ instead of $\widetilde{M}_\pm$.

2. Let us consider the symmetric operator $A_{\min}$ defined by (2.6). Let us determine the linear mappings $\Gamma_j : \operatorname{dom}(A^*_{\min}) \to \mathbb{C}^2,\ (j = 0,\ 1)$, as follows

$$\Gamma_0 f = \begin{pmatrix} f'(+0) \\ f'(-0) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Gamma_1 f = \begin{pmatrix} -f(+0) \\ -f(-0) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f \in \operatorname{dom}(A^*_{\min}). \tag{4.6}$$

Since $A_{\min} = A_{\min+} \oplus A_{\min-}$ and $\Gamma_j = \Gamma_j^+ \oplus \Gamma_j^-$, then the triplet $\Pi = \{\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ is a boundary triplet for $A_{\min}^*$.

Further, we put

$$A_0 := A_{\min}^* \restriction \ker(\Gamma_0) = A_{0+} \oplus A_{0-}. \tag{4.7}$$

Therefore, the operator function $\gamma(\cdot) : \rho(A_0) \to [\mathbb{C}^2, \mathfrak{N}_\lambda(A_{\min})]$ defined by

$$\gamma(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} c_+ \\ c_- \end{pmatrix} := \gamma^+(\lambda)c_+ + \gamma^-(\lambda)c_- = c_+\psi_+(\cdot,\lambda) + c_-\psi_-(\cdot,\lambda), \qquad c_\pm \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{4.8}$$

is the gamma-field corresponding to the boundary triplet Π. Moreover, the corresponding Weyl function has the following form

$$M(\lambda) := \begin{pmatrix} M_+(\lambda) & 0 \\ 0 & M_-(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \ \ (= \rho(A_{0+}) \cap \rho(A_{0-})).$$

Lemma 4.1 (cf. [23])**.** *Let A be the operator associated with equation* (1.1)*, let the operator A_0 be defined by* (4.7)*. Then:*

(i) $\sigma(A) \cap \rho(A_0) = \{\lambda \in \rho(A_0) \,:\, M_+(\lambda) = M_-(\lambda)\}$*;*

(ii) *If $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(A_0)$, then the following equality is valid for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r|dx)$*

$$(A-\lambda)^{-1}f = (A_0-\lambda)^{-1}f + \frac{\mathcal{F}_+(f,\lambda) - \mathcal{F}_-(f,\lambda)}{M_+(\lambda) - M_-(\lambda)} \left(\psi_+(\cdot,\lambda) + \psi_-(\cdot,\lambda)\right), \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_+(f,\lambda) := \int_0^{+\infty} f(x)\psi_+(x,\lambda)|r(x)|dx, \qquad \mathcal{F}_-(f,\lambda) := \int_{-\infty}^0 f(x)\psi_-(x,\lambda)|r(x)|dx.$$

Proof. **(i)** Let us rewrite (2.10) as follows

$$\mathrm{dom}(A) = \{f \in \mathrm{dom}(A_{\min}^*) : C\Gamma_1 f + D\Gamma_0 f = 0\},$$
$$\text{where} \qquad C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 4.1 (i), $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(A_0)$ if and only if $0 \in \rho(D + CM(\lambda))$. Since

$$\det(D + CM(\lambda)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} M_+(\lambda) & -M_-(\lambda) \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = -M_+(\lambda) + M_-(\lambda),$$

we see that $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(A_0)$ exactly when $M_+(\lambda) \neq M_-(\lambda)$.

(ii) Let $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(A_0)$ and $f \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then after simple calculations we obtain

$$\gamma^*(\overline{\lambda})f = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^{+\infty} f(x)\psi_+(x,\lambda)|r(x)|\,dx \\ \int_{-\infty}^0 f(x)\psi_-(x,\lambda)|r(x)|\,dx \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_+(f,\lambda) \\ \mathcal{F}_-(f,\lambda) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{and} \qquad (D + CM(\lambda))^{-1}C = -\frac{1}{M_+(\lambda) - M_-(\lambda)} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining this equalities with (4.8) and (4.2), we obtain (4.9). □

Remark 4.2. *Lemma 4.1 was obtained in [23] (for the case $r(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$). In the proof given above we use other technique.*

Remark 4.3. *Notice also that each point $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A) \cap \rho(A_0)$ is an eigenvalue of A (see, for example, [2]), i.e.,* $\sigma(A) \cap \rho(A_0) = \{\lambda \in \rho(A_0) \,:\, M_+(\lambda) = M_-(\lambda)\} \subset \sigma_p(A)$.

4.3 Proofs.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Statement **(i)** obviously follows from Lemma 4.1 and the fact that $\rho(A_0) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Let us prove **(ii)**. Assume that the operator L is semibounded, i.e., $L \geq \eta_0 I$, $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $L_{\min+} \oplus L_{\min-} \geq \eta_0 I$ and $L_{\min\pm} \geq \eta_0 I$. Since $A_{\min\pm} = \pm L_{\min\pm}$, we obtain $A_{\min+} \geq \eta_0 I$ and $A_{\min-} \leq -\eta_0 I$.

The operators A_{0+} and A_{0-} are self-adjoint extensions of $A_{\min\pm}$. Furthermore, the deficiency indices of $A_{\min\pm}$ are $(1,1)$, hence (see [2, Chapter VII]) the operators A_{0+} and A_{0-} are semibounded. Therefore, there exists $\eta_1 \in (-\infty, \eta_0]$ such that $\sigma(A_{0+}) \in [\eta_1, +\infty)$ and $\sigma(A_{0-}) \in (-\infty, -\eta_1]$. On the other hand, the operators $A_{0\pm}$ are unbounded. These facts imply $\sigma(A_{0+}) \neq \sigma(A_{0-})$.

Since $\sigma(A_{0\pm}) = \operatorname{supp} d\tau_\pm$ (see Section 2.2), one immediately gets $\operatorname{supp} d\tau_+ \neq \operatorname{supp} d\tau_-$. By the Stieltjes inversion formula (2.14), we conclude that $M_+(\lambda) \not\equiv M_-(\lambda)$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Hence Lemma 4.1(i) yields

$$\rho(A) \setminus \mathbb{R} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \,:\, M_+(\lambda) \neq M_-(\lambda)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

□

The following result is well known.

Proposition 4.2. *Let T be a closed operator in a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\rho(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$. If T is similar to a self-adjoint operator, then there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \cdot \|(T-\lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathfrak{H}} \leq C \qquad \textit{for all} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.10}$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose that A is similar to a self-adjoint operator. Then $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 4.1(i), $M_+(\lambda) \neq M_-(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Hence the functions (3.1) are well-defined.

Further, by Proposition 4.2, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \cdot \|(A-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq C \qquad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.11}$$

Since the operator $A_0 = A_0^*$ is self-adjoint, then

$$|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \cdot \|(A_0-\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq 1, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

Combining this inequality with (4.11), we get

$$|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \cdot \big\|(A-\lambda)^{-1} - (A_0-\lambda)^{-1}\big\| \leq C+1, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.12}$$

Substituting $f(\cdot) = \psi_\pm(\cdot, \overline{\lambda})$ in (4.9), we obtain from (4.12) the following inequality

$$|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \, \frac{\|\psi_\pm(x,\lambda)\| \, (\|\psi_+(x,\lambda)\| + \|\psi_-(x,\lambda)\|)}{|M_+(\lambda) - M_-(\lambda)|} \leq \sqrt{2}(C+1), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, using (2.12), one immediately gets

$$\frac{\sqrt{|\operatorname{Im} M_\pm(\lambda)|} \, (\sqrt{|\operatorname{Im} M_+(\lambda)|} + \sqrt{|\operatorname{Im} M_-(\lambda)|})}{|M_+(\lambda) - M_-(\lambda)|} \leq \sqrt{2}(C+1) \qquad , \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{|\operatorname{Im} M_\pm(\lambda)|}{|M_+(\lambda) - M_-(\lambda)|} \leq \sqrt{2}(C+1) \, .$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us prove assertion **(ii)**. The proof of assertion **(i)** is analogous. It is assumed that the operator A has the following properties:

(A1) A is a J-self-adjoint J-nonnegative operator;

(A2) $\ker A = \ker A^2$;

(A3) 0 is not a singular critical point of A.

Notice that to prove **(ii)** it is sufficient to show that the resolvent $(A-\lambda)^{-1}$ of the operator A satisfies the inequality

$$|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \cdot \|(A-\lambda)^{-1}\| \le C \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in \Omega_R := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+ \,:\, |\lambda| < R\}. \tag{4.13}$$

Actually, if the resolvent of the operator A satisfies (4.13), then, arguing as in proof of Theorem 3.1, we easily obtain **(ii)**.

By Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 2.1, the operator A has a spectral function $E_A(\Delta)$. Let us consider the following operator $\mathcal{P}_R := E_A([-2R, 2R])$, $(R > 0)$. Note that the operator $\mathcal{P}_R$ is a bounded J-orthogonal projection in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx)$ (see **(E1)-(E2)** in Theorem 2.1). Furthermore, using properties **(E4)**–**(E5)** of the spectral function $E_A(\Delta)$, we obtain the decomposition

$$A = \mathcal{A}_0 \dot{+} \mathcal{A}_\infty, \qquad \mathcal{A}_0 := A \restriction \mathfrak{H}_0, \quad \mathcal{A}_\infty := A \restriction \mathfrak{H}_\infty, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\text{where} \qquad L^2(\mathbb{R}, |r(x)|dx) = \mathfrak{H}_0 \dot{+} \mathfrak{H}_\infty, \qquad \mathfrak{H}_0 := \operatorname{ran}(\mathcal{P}_R), \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{H}_\infty := \operatorname{ran}(I - \mathcal{P}_R).$$

Moreover,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}_0) \subset [-2R, 2R], \qquad \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\infty) \subset (-\infty, -2R] \cup [2R, +\infty). \tag{4.15}$$

It is obvious that the operator $\mathcal{A}_0$ satisfies **(A1)**–**(A3)**. On the other hand, it follows from (4.15) that ∞ is not a critical point of $\mathcal{A}_0$. Hence, by Proposition 2.2, the operator $\mathcal{A}_0$ is similar to a self-adjoint one. Therefore, by Proposition 4.2, we obtain

$$|\operatorname{Im}\lambda| \cdot \|(\mathcal{A}_0 - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathfrak{H}_0} \le C_1 \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.16}$$

Furthermore, (4.15) implies

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_\infty - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{\mathfrak{H}_\infty} \le C_2 \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in \Omega_R. \tag{4.17}$$

Combining (4.16) and (4.17) with (4.14), we obtain (4.13). This completes the proof. □

5 The operator $-\frac{\operatorname{sgn} x}{(3|x|+1)^{-4/3}} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$

The main aim of Subsections 5–6 is to present several explicit examples of indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators of the form (1.2) with the singular critical point 0. We start with the case $q \equiv 0$. It should be noted that this kind of operators could be treated by the theory of strings with a nonmonotone mass distribution function (see [12]).

1. In the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, (3|x|+1)^{-4/3}dx)$, let us consider the operator A defined by the differential expression

$$a[y] = -\frac{(\operatorname{sgn} x)}{(3|x|+1)^{-4/3}}\, y'' \tag{5.1}$$

on the natural domain $\mathfrak{D}$ (for details see Subsection 2.1). Notice that in this case $q(x) \equiv 0$ and $r(x) = (\operatorname{sgn} x)(3|x|+1)^{-4/3}$.

Theorem 5.1. *The operator A is J-self-adjoint and J-nonnegative. Moreover,*

(i) *the spectrum of A is real, $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$;*

(ii) *0 is a simple eigenvalue of A;*

(iii) *0 is a singular critical point of A;*

(iv) *A is not similar to a self-adjoint operator.*

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is based on the following lemma, which will be proved in the next subsection.

Lemma 5.1. *The differential equation*

$$-y''(x) = \lambda(3x+1)^{-4/3}y(x), \qquad x > 0, \tag{5.2}$$

is in the limit point case at $+\infty$. Moreover, the function

$$m(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}, \qquad \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{5.3}$$

is the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficient for (5.2).

Proof of Theorem 5.1. **(i)** By Lemma 5.1, differential expression (5.1) is in the limit point case at both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. Hence the operator A is J-self-adjoint. Evidently, the operator A is J-nonnegative. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that the spectrum of A is real, $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Let us prove **(ii)**. Note that in this case $c(x,0) \equiv 1$ and $\ker A = \operatorname{span}\{c(x,0)\}$.

By Lemma 5.1, we obviously obtain

$$M_+(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}}, \qquad M_-(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.4}$$

Combining (4.9) with (2.12) and (5.4), we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(A-\lambda)^{-1}\| &\le \|(A_0-\lambda)^{-1}\| + 2\cdot\frac{\operatorname{Im} M_+(\lambda) + \operatorname{Im} M_-(\lambda)}{\operatorname{Im}\lambda\cdot|M_+(\lambda) - M_-(\lambda)|} = \\
&= \|(A_0-\lambda)^{-1}\| + 2\cdot\frac{\operatorname{Im}(-1/\lambda + 1/\sqrt{-\lambda}) + \operatorname{Im}(-1/\lambda - 1/\sqrt{\lambda})}{\operatorname{Im}\lambda\cdot|1/\sqrt{-\lambda} + 1/\sqrt{\lambda}|} = \\
&= \|(A_0-\lambda I)^{-1}\| + 4\frac{\operatorname{Im}(-1/\lambda)}{\operatorname{Im}\lambda\cdot|1/\sqrt{-\lambda} + 1/\sqrt{\lambda}|} + 2\frac{\operatorname{Im}(1/\sqrt{-\lambda} + 1/\sqrt{\lambda})}{\operatorname{Im}\lambda\cdot|1/\sqrt{-\lambda} + 1/\sqrt{\lambda}|} \le \\
&\le \|(A_0-\lambda I)^{-1}\| + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{|\lambda|^{3/2}} + \frac{2}{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}, \qquad \operatorname{Im}\lambda \ne 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Hence, for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|(A - i\varepsilon)^{-1}\| = O(|\varepsilon|^{-3/2}) \qquad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Therefore, the Riesz index of 0 is less than 2, i.e., $\ker A^2 = \ker A$.

To prove **(iii)** we use Corollary 3.2.

Simple calculations show that

$$\operatorname{Im} M_+(i\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}}, \qquad \operatorname{Re} M_+(i\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}}, \qquad \varepsilon > 0,$$

and

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} M_+(i\varepsilon)}{\operatorname{Re} M_+(i\varepsilon)} = \frac{1/\varepsilon + \sqrt{1/2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1/2\varepsilon}} = 1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}} \to +\infty, \quad \varepsilon \to +0. \tag{5.6}$$

Thus the condition of Corollary 3.2 fails, hence 0 is a singular critical point of the operator A.

Finally, notice that **(iv)** directly follows from **(iii)** (see Proposition 2.2). □

2. Let us briefly recall the basic facts from the Krein string spectral theory (see [26], and also [9]).

A string $\mathcal{S}$ is specified by a pair l and $\mathcal{M}$, where the number $l > 0$ is the length of $\mathcal{S}$, and the function $\mathcal{M} : [0, l) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is the mass distribution. Naturally, $\mathcal{M}$ is nonnegative, nondecreasing, continuous from the right, and $x = 0$ is a point of growth. With such a string one can naturally associate a self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}$ (see [9]). This is done by restricting the formal differential operator $\ell : f \to -d^2 f/d\mathcal{M}dx$ to the special domain $\operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{L})$ in the Hilbert space $L^2([0, l], d\mathcal{M})$. If

$$\mathcal{M} \in AC_{loc}, \quad d\mathcal{M}(x) = r(x)dx, \quad l = +\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^2 d\mathcal{M}(t) = \infty,$$

then $\mathcal{L}$ coincides with the Sturm-Liouville operator A_{0+} defined by (2.16).

It should be noted that for the string $\mathcal{S}$ one can naturally determine the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-function $m(\cdot)$ and the spectral function $\tau(\cdot)$. Note that if $\mathcal{M}$ is locally absolutely continuous, $d\mathcal{M}(x) = r(x)dx$, then m and τ become the classical m-function and the classical spectral function.

The following fundamental result is due to M. Krein [30] (see also [26, §11] and [9, §6.6]).

Theorem 5.2 ([30])**.** *A nondecreasing function $\tau : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $(\tau(0) = 0)$ is a spectral function of a string if and only if*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau(s)}{1+s} < \infty. \tag{5.7}$$

Under this condition a string $\mathcal{S}$ (i.e., the length l and the mass distribution $\mathcal{M}$) is uniquely determined by τ.

In the following we also need rule for the change in the string $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}^*$ resulting from a change $\tau \to \tau^*$ in its spectral function. This fact has been discovered by M. Krein [32, Theorem 2.3] (see also [9, §6.9, Rule 2]).

Theorem 5.3 ([32])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}(x)$ and $\mathcal{M}^*(x)$ be the mass distributions of two different strings $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}^*$ with lengths l and l^* respectively. Let τ and τ^* be the spectral functions of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}^*$. If $c > -\rho(+0)$ and $\tau^*(s) = c + \tau(s)$ for all $s > 0$, then*

$$\mathcal{M}^*(x) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\zeta)}{1 + c\mathcal{M}(\zeta)}, \qquad x = \int_0^{\zeta} (1 + c\mathcal{M}(s))^2 ds, \quad (0 \le \zeta \le l). \tag{5.8}$$

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 5.1.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Putting $\lambda = 0$ in (5.2) , we obtain

$$c(x,0) = 1, \qquad s(x,0) = x, \qquad x > 0.$$

Since $s(x,0) \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, (3x+1)^{-4/3})$, the Weyl alternative implies that expression (5.2) is in the limit point case at $+\infty$.

Further, one can easily compute that

$$m(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau(s)}{s-\lambda}, \qquad \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}_+,$$

with

$$\tau(s) := \begin{cases} 1 + 2\sqrt{s}/\pi, & s > 0 \\ 0, & s \le 0 \end{cases} . \tag{5.9}$$

By Theorem 5.2, $\tau(\cdot)$ is a spectral function of a certain string $\mathcal{S}$. Let us recover the mass distribution $\mathcal{M}$ and the length l of $\mathcal{S}$.

It is well-known that the function

$$\tau_0(s) := \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{s}/\pi, & s > 0 \\ 0, & s \le 0 \end{cases} , \tag{5.10}$$

is the spectral function of the problem

$$-y''(x) = \lambda y(x), \qquad x > 0; \qquad y'(0) = 0.$$

In other words, $\tau_0(\cdot)$ is a spectral function of the string $\mathcal{S}_0$ with the mass distribution $\mathcal{M}_0(x) = x$ and the length $l_0 = +\infty$. Using Theorem 5.3, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}(x) = \frac{\mathcal{M}_0(\zeta)}{1 + \mathcal{M}_0(\zeta)} = \frac{\zeta}{1+\zeta}, \qquad x = \int_0^{\zeta} (1+s)^2 ds = \frac{(1+\zeta)^3 - 1}{3}.$$

Hence,

$$\zeta = (3x+1)^{1/3} - 1, \qquad \mathcal{M}(x) = 1 - (3x+1)^{-1/3}, \quad 0 \le x < l = +\infty. \tag{5.11}$$

Finally, $\mathcal{M}(x)$ is locally absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and

$$d\mathcal{M}(x) = r(x)dx = (3x+1)^{-4/3}dx, \qquad x > 0.$$

Therefore, the function (5.9) is a spectral function of the boundary value problem (5.2). □

6 Operators with the singular critical point zero: the case $r(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$

In this section we suppose that $r(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Two examples of indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators of type $(\operatorname{sgn} x)(-d^2/dx^2 + q)$ will be considered.

6.1 An operator with a decaying potential.

The main object of this subsection is the following operator

$$(Ay)(x) = (\operatorname{sgn} x)\left(-y''(x) + 6\frac{x^4 - 6|x|}{(|x|^3+3)^2}y(x)\right), \qquad \operatorname{dom}(A) = W_2^2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{6.1}$$

Here $W_2^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the Sobolev space. Notice that the potential $q(x) = 6(x^4 - 6|x|)(|x|^3+3)^{-2}$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, hence the operator A is J-self-adjoint.

Theorem 6.1. *Let A be the operator of the form* (6.1). *Then:*

(i) *A has a real spectrum, $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$;*

(ii) *0 is a simple eigenvalue of A;*

(iii) *A is not similar to a self-adjoint operator.*

As in the previous section, we start with a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *The function*

$$m_0(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda\sqrt{-\lambda}}, \qquad \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}, \tag{6.2}$$

is the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficient for the boundary value problem

$$-y''(x) + 6\frac{x^4 - 6|x|}{(|x|^3+3)^2}y(x) = \lambda\, y(x), \qquad x \geq 0; \quad y'(0) = 0. \tag{6.3}$$

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Let us consider the following function

$$\tau_\infty(s) := \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{2}{3\pi}s^{3/2}, & s > 0 \\ 0, & s \leq 0 \end{cases}. \tag{6.4}$$

Using the algorithm of Gelfand and Levitan (see e.g. [34]), we obtain that (calculations are omitted) τ_∞ is the spectral function of the problem

$$-y''(x) + 6\frac{x^4 - 6|x|}{(|x|^3+3)^2}y(x) = \lambda\, y(x), \qquad x \geq 0; \quad y(0) = 0. \tag{6.5}$$

Moreover, the function

$$m_\infty(\lambda) := -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \int_0^{+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{s-\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2}\right)d\tau_\infty(s) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} - \sqrt{-\lambda}, \qquad \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}_+,$$

is the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficient for the boundary value problem (6.5). But, it is obvious that $m_0(\lambda) = -1/m_\infty(\lambda)$, $\lambda \notin \{-1\} \cup \mathbb{R}_+$. Thus (see Remark 2.1) m_0 is the Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficient for the problem (6.3). □

Proof of Theorem 6.1. **(i)** By Lemma 6.1, we have

$$M_+(\lambda) = m_0(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda\sqrt{-\lambda}}, \qquad M_-(\lambda) = -M_+(-\lambda), \qquad \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.6}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{M_+(\lambda)}-\frac{1}{M_-(\lambda)}=-\frac{1}{\lambda}-\sqrt{-\lambda}-\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}+\sqrt{\lambda}\right)=-\sqrt{-\lambda}-\sqrt{\lambda}\neq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda\notin\mathbb{R},$$

we see that $M_+(\lambda)\neq M_-(\lambda)$ for $\lambda\notin\mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 4.1, the operator A has a real spectrum.

(ii) Since $\tau_\infty(\cdot)$ is the spectral function of the boundary value problem (6.5) and $\tau_\infty(+0)\neq\tau_\infty(-0)$, then $\lambda=0$ is an eigenvalue of the problem (6.5). This implies $s(x,0)\chi_+(x)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (for the definition of $s(x,\lambda)$ see Subsection 2.2). Moreover, the potential q is even. Hence, $s(x,0)\chi_-(x)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$. Thus $s(x,0)\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and therefore $s(x,0)\in\ker L$. Since $\ker A=\ker L\neq\{0\}$, we conclude that $0\in\sigma_p(A)$. Notice also that (6.5) is limit point at $+\infty$. Therefore, $c(x,0)\notin L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\ker A=\ker L=\operatorname{span}\{s(x,0)\}$.

To prove that $\ker A=\ker A^2$ we check the conditions of Proposition 2.1. It is not so hard to obtain that

$$M_+(\lambda)=m_0(\lambda)=-\frac{2}{3(1+\lambda)}+\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{d\tau_{ac}(s)}{s-\lambda},\qquad \lambda\notin\{-1\}\cup\mathbb{R}_+,$$

with

$$d\tau_{ac}(s):=\frac{s^{5/2}}{\pi(1+s^3)}ds,\qquad s>0.$$

Thus $d\tau_+(s)=\frac{2}{3}\,\delta(s+1)ds+d\tau_{ac}(s)$, where $\delta(s)ds$ is the Dirac measure. It follows from (6.6) that $d\tau_-(s)=\frac{2}{3}\,\delta(s-1)ds-d\tau_{ac}(-s)$. Hence,

$$\tau_\pm(+0)=\tau_\pm(-0),\qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{|s|^2}\,d\tau_+(s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{|s|^2}\,d\tau_-(s)=\frac{2}{3}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{s^{1/2}}{\pi(1+s^3)}\,ds<\infty,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{d\tau_+(s)}{s}=M_+(0+i0)=0=M_-(0+i0)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{d\tau_-(s)}{s}.$$

So conditions (2.19) and (2.20) are fulfilled and, by Proposition 2.1, we have $0\in\sigma_p(A)$ and $\dim(\ker A)=1$. Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{d\tau_\pm(s)}{s^4}>\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{d\tau_{ac}(s)}{s^4}=\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{ds}{\pi(1+s^3)s^{3/2}}=\infty\ ,$$

we see that both conditions (2.21) fail. Thus, Proposition 2.1 yields that 0 is a simple eigenvalue of the operator A.

(iii) After simple calculations, one gets for $\varepsilon>0$

$$\operatorname{Im}\frac{1}{M_+(i\varepsilon)}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}+\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}\ ,\qquad \operatorname{Re}\frac{1}{M_+(i\varepsilon)}=-\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im}M_+(i\varepsilon)}{\operatorname{Re}M_+(i\varepsilon)}=\frac{\operatorname{Im}(1/M_+(i\varepsilon))}{\operatorname{Re}(1/M_+(i\varepsilon))}=\frac{1/\varepsilon+\sqrt{\varepsilon/2}}{-\sqrt{\varepsilon/2}}\to-\infty,\qquad \varepsilon\to+0.$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.1, the operator A is not similar to a self-adjoint one. □

Remark 6.1. *Note that the operator A of the form* (6.1) *is not J-nonnegative, but it is definitizable. Actually, consider the corresponding self-adjoint operator $L:=JA$. It is easy to show that $\sigma(L)=\{-1\}\cup[0,+\infty)$ and $\lambda_0=-1$ is an eigenvalue of L. Therefore, the form $[A\cdot,\cdot\,]=(L\cdot,\cdot\,)$ has exactly one negative square. Hence the operator A is definitizable (see [5, Proposition 1.1]).*

Using the arguments from the proof of Theorem 3.2, it is not hard to show that 0 *is a singular critical point of the operator A.*

6.2 J-nonnegative Sturm-Liouville operator with the singular critical point zero.

The following result follows easily from [5, Lemma 3.5 (iii)] and [5, Theorem 3.6 (i)]: if the operator $L = -d^2/dx^2 + q(x)$ (acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$) is nonnegative, then ∞ is a regular critical point of the operator $A = (\operatorname{sgn} x)L$. The regularity of critical point 0 of the operator $A = (\operatorname{sgn} x)(-d^2/dx^2 + q(x))$ was proved for the following three cases:

(i) $q \equiv 0$ (see [6]);

(ii) the spectrum of A is real and q satisfies condition (1.4) (see [10]);

(iii) A is definitizable and q is a finite-zone potential (see [22, 23]).

The goal of this subsection is to show that there exists a J-nonnegative operator of the type $A = (\operatorname{sgn} x)(-d^2/dx^2 + q(x))$ with the singular critical point 0.

We first need in some preparations. Let us consider the following nondecreasing function

$$\tau(s) := \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{2}{\pi}(\sqrt{s} - \arctan\sqrt{s}), & s > 0 \\ 0, & s \le 0 \end{cases} . \tag{6.7}$$

By the Gelfand–Levitan theorem (see [35]), $\tau(\cdot)$ is a spectral function of the boundary value problem

$$-y''(x) + q_0(x)y(x) = \lambda y(x), \quad y'(0) = 0, \qquad x \in [0, +\infty), \tag{6.8}$$

with a certain continuous potential q_0. It follows from (2.13) that the corresponding Weyl-Titchmarsh m-coefficient has the form

$$m(\lambda) = \int_{-0}^{+\infty} \frac{d\tau(s)}{s - \lambda} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} - \frac{1}{-\lambda + \sqrt{-\lambda}}, \qquad \lambda \notin [0, +\infty). \tag{6.9}$$

Let us recover the corresponding differential expression, i.e., the potential $q_0(x)$. Using the Gelfand–Levitan algorithm, we obtain

$$f(x, y) = \int_0^{+\infty} \cos\sqrt{\lambda}x \ \cos\sqrt{\lambda}y \ d(\tau(\lambda) - 2\sqrt{\lambda}/\pi) = 1 - e^{-x}\cosh y, \qquad 0 \le y \le x, \tag{6.10}$$

and

$$q_0(x) = 2\frac{d}{dx}K(x, x), \qquad x > 0, \tag{6.11}$$

where the kernel $K(x, y)$ is the solution of the Gelfand–Levitan equation

$$K(x, y) + f(x, y) + \int_0^x K(x, t)f(t, y)dt = 0, \qquad 0 \le y \le x. \tag{6.12}$$

Theorem 6.2. *Let the potential q_0 be defined by* (6.11), (6.12), *and* (6.10). *Let the operator A be defined by the differential expression*

$$(\operatorname{sgn} x)\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_0(|x|)\right) \tag{6.13}$$

on the natural domain $\mathfrak{D}$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (for the definition see Subsection 2.1). Then:

(i) *A is a J-nonnegative J-self-adjoint operator and $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$;*

(ii) *0 is a simple eigenvalue of A;*

(iii) *0 is a singular critical point of A;*

(iv) *the operator A is not similar to a self-adjoint operator.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, to prove **(i)** we only have to show that the operator $L = -d^2/dx^2 + q_0(|x|)$ is nonnegative. Combining arguments from Lemma 4.1 (i) with Proposition 4.1 (i), one can show that

$$\sigma(L) \cap \rho(L_{0+} \oplus L_{0-}) = \{\lambda \in \rho(L_{0+} \oplus L_{0-}) \,:\, m_+(\lambda) + m_-(\lambda) = 0\}. \tag{6.14}$$

Since the potential $q_0(|\cdot|)$ is even, we see that $m_-(\lambda) = m_+(\lambda) = m(\lambda)$. Moreover, (2.17) implies that

$$\sigma(L_{0+}) = \sigma(L_{0-}) = \operatorname{supp} d\tau = [0, +\infty).$$

From this, (6.14) and (6.9), we obtain $\sigma(L) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, i.e., $L \geq 0$. (It is not difficult to show that $\sigma(L) = \mathbb{R}_+$.)

(ii) Since τ is the spectral function of the problem (6.8) and $\tau(+0) \neq \tau(-0)$, we see that $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue of the problem (6.8). That is $c(x,0)\chi_+(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Furthermore, the potential $q_0(|x|)$ is even, hence $c(x,0)\chi_-(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_-)$ and $c(x,0) \in \ker L$. Let us note that the operator L is self-adjoint, i.e., the differential equation (1.3) with $r(x) = \operatorname{sgn} x$ and $q(x) = q_0(|x|)$ is limit point at $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. Therefore, $s(x,0) \notin L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\ker L = \operatorname{span}\{c(x,0)\}$.

The equality $\ker A = \ker L$ implies $0 \in \sigma_p(A)$ and $\dim(\ker A) = 1$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, one can show that $\ker A = \ker A^2$, so 0 is a simple eigenvalue of A. On the other hand, this fact follows from [19, Theorem 1 (2.ii)] (see also [23, Theorem 4.2 (2.ii)]) since $\int_{+0}^{\infty} s^{-2} d\tau(s) = +\infty$. This completes the proof of *(ii)*.

(iii) By (6.9), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Im} m_+(iy) = \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2y}} - \frac{y + \sqrt{y/2}}{y/2 + (y + \sqrt{y/2})^2} \geq \frac{1}{y}, \qquad y \geq 0;$$

$$\operatorname{Re} m_+(iy) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2y}} - \frac{\sqrt{y/2}}{y/2 + (y + \sqrt{y/2})^2} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{y/2}}{1 + y + \sqrt{2y}}, \qquad y \geq 0;$$

Combining these relations, one easily gets

$$\lim_{y \to +0} \frac{\operatorname{Im} m_+(iy)}{\operatorname{Re} m_+(iy)} = +\infty.$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.2, 0 is a singular critical point of the operator A.

(iv) Follows from Proposition 2.2 and *(iii)*. □

Remark 6.2. *It is easy to see that the potential q_0 is continuous. Moreover, the potential q_0 is L^2 potential, $q_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We plan to publish a proof of this fact in the forthcoming paper devoted to indefinite Sturm-Liouville problems with decaying potentials.*

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to Andreas Fleige for bringing the problem to their attention. The authors are deeply grateful to Mark Malamud for his constant attention to this work and numerous fruitful discussions. The first author would like to thank Michel Chipot for the hospitality of the University of Zurich.

References

[1] Abasheeva N. L., Pyatkov S. G. *Counterexamples in indefinite Sturm-Liouville problems.//* Siberian Adv. Math. **7** (1997), no.4, 1–8.

[2] *Akhiezer N. I., Glazman I. M.* Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space. (Dover Publ., New York, 1993).

[3] *Azizov T. Ya., Iokhvidov I. S.* Linear operators in spaces with an indefinite metric. (Chichester, New York, John Wiley and Sons 1989).

[4] Beals R. *Indefinite Sturm-Liouville problems and Half-range completeness.//* J. Differential Equations **56** (1985), 391–407.

[5] Ćurgus B., Langer H. *A Krein space approach to symmetric ordinary differential operators with an indefinite weight function. //* J. Differential Equations **79** (1989), 31–61.

[6] Ćurgus B., Najman B. *The operator* $(\operatorname{sgn} x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ *is similar to a selfadjoint operator in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$*.//* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 1125-1128.

[7] V. A. Derkach, M. M. Malamud, *On the Weyl function and Hermitian operators with gaps.* Dokl. AN SSSR **293** (1987), 1041–1046.

[8] V. A. Derkach, M. M. Malamud, *The extension theory of Hermitian operators and the moment problem//* J. Math. Sciences, **73** (1995), no. 2, 141–242.

[9] *Dym H., McKean H. P.* Gaussian Processes, Function Theory and the Inverse Spectral Problem. (Acad. Press, New York, 1976).

[10] Faddeev M. M., Shterenberg R. G., *On similarity of singular differential operators to a selfadjoint one.//* Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **270** (2000). Issled. po Linein. Oper. i Teor. Funkts. **28**, 336–349.

[11] Faddeev M. M., Shterenberg R. G. *On similarity of differential operators to a selfadjoint one.//* Math. Notes **72** (2002), 292–303.

[12] *Fleige A.* Spectral theory of indefinite Krein-Feller differential operators. (Mathematical Research 98, Berlin, Akademie Verlag, 1996).

[13] Fleige A. *A counterexample to completeness properties for indefinite Sturm-Liouville problems.//* Math. Nachr. **190** (1998), 123-128.

[14] Fleige A., Najman B. *Nonsingularity of critical points of some differential and difference operators.//* Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl., **102**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

[15] *Gorbachuk V. I., Gorbachuk M. L.* Boundary value problems for operator differential equations. (Mathematics and Its Applications, Soviet Series **48**, Dordrecht ets., Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991).

[16] Greenberg W., van der Mee C.V.M., Protopopescu V. *Boundary value problems in abstract kinetic theory.*// Operator theory, **23**, Basel, Birkhäuser, 1987.

[17] Karabash I. M. *J-selfadjoint ordinary differential operators similar to selfadjoint operators.* // Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **6** (2000), no. 2, 22–49.

[18] Karabash I. M. *On similarity of differential operators to a self-adjoint one.* PhD thesis. (Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Donetsk, 2005).

[19] Karabash I. M. *On eigenvalues in the essential spectrum of Sturm-Liouville operators with the indefinite weight* $\operatorname{sgn} x$.// Spectral and evolution problems, **15**, Proc. of the XV Crimean Autumn Math. School–Symposium, Simferopol, (2005), 55–60.

[20] Karabash I. M. *Stationary transport equations; the case when the spectrum of collision operators has a negative part.*// Spectral and evolution problems, **16**, Proc. of the XVI Crimean Autumn Math. School–Symposium, Simferopol, (2006), 149–153.

[21] Karabash I. M. *Existence and uniqueness of solutions of stationary transport equations.*// to appear in *Proceedings in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics (Proc. of GAMM 2006)*).

[22] Karabash I. M., Malamud M. M. *On similarity of J-selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville operators with finite-gap potential to selfadjoint ones.*// Dokl. Akad. Nauk **394**(2004) 17–21. (in russian)

[23] Karabash I. M., Malamud M. M. *Indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators* $(\operatorname{sgn} x)(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+q)$ *with finite-zone potentials.* ArXiv: Math. SP/0610087 v 2; http://arxiv.org/abs/math.SP/0610087

[24] Kaper H.G., Lekkerkerker C.G., Hejtmanek J. *Spectral methods in linear transport theory.*// Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl. **5**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1982.

[25] Kac I. S., Krein M. G. *R-functions – analytic functions mapping the upper halfplane into itself.* // Amer. Math. Soc. Trans., Ser. 2, **103**, (1974), 1–19.

[26] Kac I. S., Krein M. G. *On the spectral function of the string.*// Amer. Math. Soc. Trans., Ser. 2, **103**, (1974), 19–102.

[27] Kostenko A. S. *Similarity of indefinite Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potential to a self-adjoint operator.*// Math. Notes **78** (2005), no.1, 134-139.

[28] Kostenko A. S. *Similarity of some J-nonnegative operators to self-adjoint operators.*// Math. Notes **80** (2006), no.1, 131-135.

[29] Kostenko A. S. *Spectral analysis of some indefinite Sturm–Liouville operators.*// Operator Theory' 20: Conference Proceedings (Timisoara (Romania), June 30 - July 5, 2004), Bucuresti: Theta, 2006, 131–141.

[30] Krein M. G. *On a generalization of an investigation of Stieltjes.*// Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **87** (1952), 881–884 [russian].

[31] Krein M. G. *On the transfer function of a one-dimensional boundary problem of the second order.//* Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **88** (1953), 405–408 [russian].

[32] Krein M. G. *On some cases of the effective determination of the density of a non-homogeneous string from its spectral function.//* Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **93** (1953), 617–620 [russian].

[33] Langer H. *Spectral functions of definitizable operators in Krein space.//* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **948** (1982), 1–46.

[34] *Levitan B. M.* Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems. (VNU Science Press, Utrecht, 1987).

[35] *Levitan B. M., Sargsjan I.S.* Introduction to Spectral Theory: Self-Adjoint Ordinary Differential Operators. (Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 39, AMS, Providence, RI, 1975).

[36] Malamud M., Mogilevskii V. *Generalized resolvents of dual pairs of linear relations.//* Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **8** (2002), no. 4, 72–100.

[37] Pagani C. D. *On the parabolic equation* $(\operatorname{sgn} x)|x|^p u_y - u_{xx} = 0$ *and a related one.//* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **99** (1974), 333–399.

[38] Parfenov A. I. *On an embedding criterion for interpolation spaces and application to indefinite spectral problem.//* Siberian Math. J. **44** (2003), no. 4, 638–644.

[39] Pyatkov S. G. *Some properties of eigenfunctions of linear pencils.//* Siberian Math. J. **30** (1989), 587-597.

[40] Shkalikov A. A. *The indefinite Sturm-Liouville problem: the known and the unknown.//* Russian Mathematical Surveys **48** (1993), 225-226.

[41] Volkmer H. *Sturm-Liouville problems with indefinite weights and Everitt's inequality.//* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburg **126A** (1996), 1097–1112.